SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 20, 1997 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S062139 Kransco, Appellant

1st Dist. International Insurance Company et al., Respondents

A070954

Div. 1

American Empire Surplus Lines Insurance Company, Appellant Petition for review GRANTED.

The issues to be argued before this court shall be limited to (1) Whether an insurer may assert an affirmative defense of the insured's comparative bad faith in a bad faith action brought against the insurer and (2) Whether California public policy prevents the recovery of punitive damages awarded against the insured in the underlying action as consequential damages in the bad faith action against the insurers where the underlying action was decided in a foreign jurisdiction permitting indemnification of punitive damages.

Submission of additional briefing on the second issue is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S062147 People, Respondent

5th Dist. v

F024902 Raymond L. Diaz, Appellant

Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People* v. *Hendrix*, S055725, *People* v. *Nelson*, S053008, and *People* v. *Jefferson*, S057834 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Respondent's petition for review DENIED.

George, C.J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J. Brown, J.

S062520 Muldoon Elder, Respondent

1st Dist. v

A075880 Pacific Bank, N.A. et al., Appellants

Div. 3 Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Santisas* v. *Goodin*, S0050326 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S062627	Eduardo Calatayud III et al., Respondents
2nd Dist.	v.
B079230	State of California et al., Appellants
Div. 4	Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J. Brown, J.

S062661 Stormedia, Inc. et al., Petitioners

6th Dist. v.

H016807 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent

Sara Werczberger, Real Party in Interest

Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Diamond Multimedia, Inc.* v. *Superior Court,* S062661 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S062749 Katrina Yeaw, Appellant

3rd Dist. v

C023607 Boy Scouts of America et al., Respondents

Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Curran* v. *Boy Scouts of America*, S039738 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J. Brown, J.

> Rita D. Johnson, Respondent Appellant's petition for review GRANTED.

> > George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J. Brown, J.

S062850 In re the Marriage of Lehman

1st Dist.

A073791 Marietta Lehman, Respondent

Div. 4 v.

Jack R. Lehman, Appellant

Appellant's petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J. Brown, J.

S006640 People, Respondent

v.

Gary Dale Hines, Appellant

Petition for rehearing DENIED.

Opinion modified.

S061412 Todd-Ao Corporation, etc., Petitioner

V.

California Public Utilities Commission, Respondent

United Parcel Service, Real Party in Interest

Petition for writ of review DENIED.

S062832 In the Matter of Stephen B. Fainsbert, a Member

of the State Bar of California

Petition for writ of review and request for order directing State Bar to expunge records DENIED.

Mosk, J., and Werdegar, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S038251 In re Michael Anthony Cox

on

Habeas Corpus

Petition for writ of habeas corpus DENIED on the merits.

S062705 In re Bernard Lee Hamilton

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the substantive ground that it is without merit. Separately and independently, it is denied on the procedural ground (*Harris v. Reed* (1989) 489 U.S. 255, 264, fn. 10) that it is untimely, without justification or excuse and outside any exception, including that for a fundamental miscarriage of justice (see generally *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 763-799): the claims therein were not presented within a reasonable time after their legal and factual bases were, or should have been, discovered (see *id.* at pp. 784-785).

The "Request for Funds for DNA Testing" is denied. Mosk, J., and Brown, J., would deny the petition solely on the merits.

S063126 In re Richard Allen Benson

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the substantive ground that it is without merit. Separately and independently, the first through eleventh claims therein (petn., pp. 66–115), and the fourteenth claim insofar as it alleges 'deni[al of] meaningful appellate review' (id., pp. 126–136), are each denied on the procedural ground (see Harris v. Reed (1989) 489 U.S. 255, 264, fn. 10) that it is untimely, without justification or excuse and outside any exception, including that for a fundamental miscarriage of justice (see generally *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 763–799): it was not presented within a reasonable time after its legal and factual bases were, or should have been, discovered (see id. at pp. 784–785). Separately and independently, the third and fourth claims therein (petn., pp. 80–85) are each denied on the procedural ground (see Harris v. Reed, supra, 489 U.S. at p. 264, fn. 10) that it is pretermitted, without justification or excuse and outside any exception (see generally *In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825, fn. 3, 829–841): it could have been, but was not, raised on appeal (see In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759). Separately and independently, the fifth through seventh and eleventh claims therein (petn., pp. 85–94, 106–115) are each denied on the procedural ground (see Harris v. Reed, supra, 489 U.S. at p. 264, fn. 10) that it is repetitive, without

justification or excuse and outside any exception (see generally *In re Harris*, *supra*, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 829–841): it was raised and rejected on appeal (see *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225).

Mosk, J., and Brown, J., would deny the petition solely on the merits.

1st Dist. Todd-Ao Corporation, Appellant

A070126 v.

Div. 5 United Parcel Service Incorporated, Appellant

S056244 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. William Rooz, Appellant

A071398 v.

Div. 3 David Kimmel et al., Respondents S062797 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A071862 v.

Div. 5 Nathaniel Murry Lee, Appellant

S062209 Respondent's petition for review DENIED.

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal, filed April 23, 1997, which appears as modified at 54 Cal.App.4th 559. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 976, Cal. Rules of Court.)

Kennard, J., and Chin, J., are of the view the opinion should remain published.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A072407 v.

Div. 1 Ronnie Alol Madrid, Appellant

S062807 Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A073390 v.

Div. 2 Anthony Starkes, Appellant

S062818 Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

A074137 Div. 2 Sonoma County Human Services Departments et al., Respondents v. Evita H., Appellant	S
**	S
Detition for neview DEMIED	S
Petition for review DENIED. The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in DENIED.	
1st Dist. Angela Abrego, Petitioner A076561 v.	
Div. 2 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents S062898 Petition for review DENIED.	
1st Dist. United Services Automobile Association, Petitioner A076892 v.	
Div. 2 Patricia A. McColm, Appellant	
S063503 Petition and application for stay DENIED.	
1st Dist. Ralph Lee Parker, Petitioner	
A077904 v. Div. 2 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents	
S062827 Petition for review DENIED.	
2nd Dist. Conservatorship of the Person and Estate of Elsie M. Allen	
Div. 4 Diane McLindon, Appellant S062606 v.	
Lynda Kayel, Respondent	
Petition for review DENIED.	
2nd Dist. People, Respondent	
B094699 v. Div. 4 Jose Antonio Hernandez, Appellant	
S062821 Appellant's petition for review DENIED.	
2nd Dist. People, Respondent	
B094809 v. Div. 2 Gary Diggs, Appellant	
S062102 Appellant's petition for review DENIED.	

2nd Dist. B097938 Div. 7 S062038	People, Respondent v. David I. Rivas et al., Appellants Appellants' petitions for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B098007 Div. 2 S062149	6420 Wilshire Associates et al., Appellants v. Pathe Communications Corporation et al., Respondents Appellants' petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B098425 Div. 2 S062762	Thomas Monteleone, Respondent v. Morton Minikes, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B098540 Div. 5 S062338	Walter L. Haines, Appellant v. Haines Russ McMurry & De Recat et al., Respondents Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B098600 Div. 6 S062899	Steve Maikisch, Appellant v. Pacific Gas & Electric Company et al., Respondents Appellant's petition for review DENIED. The requests for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause are DENIED. Baxter, J., and Chin, J., did not participate.
2nd Dist. B099365 Div. 1 S062692	People, Respondent v. Lawrence Douglas Edison, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B099387 4th Dist. S062802	In re the Marriage of William M. Keck II and Susan Quinn Keck William M. Keck II, Respondent v. Susan Quinn Keck, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B099716 Div. 1 S062804	People, Respondent v. Francisco Soria et al., Appellants Appellants' petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B099788 Div. 2 S062712	People, Respondent v. Chaveliaee Hammons, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B099855 Div. 3 S062755	People, Respondent v. David Velasquez, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B100216 Div. 6 S062162	People, Respondent v. Lionel Peter Chavez, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B100519 Div. 3 S062720	Bruce A. Thabit, Respondent v. Bank of America National Trust & Savings Assoc., Appellant Respondent's petition for review DENIED. Baxter, J., and Chin, J., did not participate.
2nd Dist. B101203 Div. 7 S062184	People, Respondent v. Darren Steven Cloyd, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. Kennard, J., and Werdegar, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.
2nd Dist. B101485 Div. 1 S062767	People, Respondent v. Brian Keith Lambert, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B102229	People, Respondent v.
Div. 4 S062858	Felipe Isaac Rodriguez, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B102351	People, Respondent v.
Div. 4 S062914	Elbert Jones, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B102764	People, Respondent v.
Div. 1 S062795	Dana R. Desosa, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B102807	Yaichiro Minami, Respondent
Div. 6 S062825	Security Farms et al., Appellants Respondent's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B102833	People, Respondent
Div. 5 S062866	Vincent Charles Green, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B102866	People, Respondent
Div. 2 S062600	Robert James Lefthand, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (<i>People</i> v. <i>Superior Court (Romero)</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)
2nd Dist. B103198	People, Respondent v.
Div. 1 S061943	James J. Dorr, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B103487 Div. 4 S062411	 B. J. McNorton, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED. The request for an order directing publication of the opinion is DENIED.
2nd Dist. B103814 Div. 7 S062865	People, Respondent v. Wayne J. Harris, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B103910 Div. 7 S062805	Dorothy Fenmore, Appellant v. Loeb & Loeb et al., Respondents Respondents' petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B105213 Div. 5 S062838	Vicki Georges Hufnagel, Appellant v. Medical Board of California, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B105280 Div. 2 S056732	Diane A. Ward et al., Petitioners v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Beverlywood Homes Association, Real Party in Interest Real Party in Interest's petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., and Kennard, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.
2nd Dist. B105322 Div. 6 S062370	People, Respondent v. Michael Edward Allen, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B105842 Div. 7 S062796	People, Respondent v. Sylvester Reynolds, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B105879	F A I R, etc. et al., Appellants V.
Div. 6	City of San Bernardino, etc. et al., Respondents
S062968	Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist.	People, Respondent
B106133	v.
Div. 2	Victor Gill Calvin, Appellant
S062751	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist.	Melissa Gilbert, Respondent
B106923	V.
Div. 1	National Enquirer Incorporated, Appellant
S062352	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
	Werdegar, J., did not participate.
2nd Dist.	People, Respondent
B108224	v.
Div. 6	Thomas William Bland, Appellant
S062918	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist.	Paula Insurance Company, Petitioner
B109669	V.
Div. 5	Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Respondent
S062894	Juan Gallegos, Respondent
	Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist.	Margaret Magnan, Petitioner
B111768	V.
Div. 6	Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Respondent
S062753	Jafra Cosmetics
	Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist.	In re Anthony Brown
B112430	on
Div. 2	Habeas Corpus
S062376	Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B112659 Div. 1 S062816	In re Ralph Mendoza Lopez on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B112836 Div. 5 S062613	David Rudich, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Victoria Jackson, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B112856 Div. 2 S062480	CBS Incorporated, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Mitchell Gold, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B112983 Div. 6 S063232	Methods Machine Tools, Inc., Petitioner v. Ventura County Superior Court, Respondent Lapp Machine & Pump Service, Inc., Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B113249 Div. 5 S062733	In re Albert Tolento on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B113261 Div. 3 S062887	In re Kenneth Grant Stauffer on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B113332 Div. 1 S062743	Gregory Forrest, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Laurie Forrest, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B113389 Div. 2 S062881	Focus Media, Inc., Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Gary R. Tobey, Real Party in Interest Application for stay, request for judicial notice, and petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B113959 Div. 1 S063576	Max Keller, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Sheryl Hardy, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C020563 S062456	People, Respondent v. Robert Licciardi, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C021139 S062053	People, Respondent v. Roland John Thomas Weber, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C021161 S062772	Frank Norried et al., Appellants v. Board of Supervisors of the County of Calaveras, Respondent Appellants' petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C023128 S062871	People, Respondent v. Richard Smith, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C023258 S062759	People, Respondent v. Darrell Anthony Sweigart, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

3rd Dist. C023344 S062763	People, Respondent v. Victor Charles Harvey, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C024037 S062874	People, Respondent v. Nyas Abdullah Cooks, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D024413 Div. 1 S062083	People, Respondent v. Christopher Jones, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D024630 D027281 Div. 1 S062044	People, Respondent v. Nathaniel Woods, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D025400 Div. 1 S062950	People, Respondent v. Harold Dwayne Jones, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
D025400 Div. 1	v. Harold Dwayne Jones, Appellant
D025400 Div. 1 S062950 4th Dist. D025464 Div. 1	v. Harold Dwayne Jones, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Antoine Lamont Pittman, Appellant

4th Dist. D026940 Div. 1 S062852	People, Respondent v. Michael Kenneth Hermanson, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E016542 E019160 Div. 2 S062163	People, Respondent v. James Frank Kaiser, Appellant In re James Frank Kaiser on Habeas Corpus Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E017041 Div. 2 S062725	People, Respondent v. Damien M. George, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E017082 Div. 2 S062912	People, Respondent v. Brian Alan Crabb, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E017309 Div. 2 S062167	People, Respondent v. Wenndever Ordonez, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E017509 Div. 2 S062775	People, Respondent v. James Lloyd Kimo Auld, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (People v. Superior Court (Romero) (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)

4th Dist. E017604 Div. 2 S062882	People, Respondent v. Richard Wiley Huff, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (People v. Superior Court (Romero) (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)
4th Dist. E017707 Div. 2 S062346	People, Respondent v. Timothy Lamont Thomas, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E017922 Div. 2 S062826	People, Respondent v. Terry Jay Lacey, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E018433 Div. 2 S062872	People, Respondent v. Glen Harlon Davis, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E018545 Div. 2 S062713	People, Respondent v. Danny McDowell, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E018658 Div. 2 S061776	People, Respondent v. Marc Deaton, Appellant Appellant's petitions for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E018661 E020091 Div. 2 S062050	People, Respondent v. Van Houston, Appellant In re Van Houston on Habeas Corpus
	In re Van Houston on Habeas Corpus Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. E019048	People, Respondent					
Div. 2	v. Robert Jefferson Bonds, Appellant					
S062862	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist.	In re Freddie Aguirre					
E020471	on					
Div. 2	Habeas Corpus					
S062857	Petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist.	Al Chegeni, Appellant					
G016002	V.					
Div. 3	Gordon Soladar et al., Respondents					
S062799	Respondents' petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist.	Michael George Kunec, Respondent					
G017197	v.					
Div. 3	Brea Redevelopment Agency, Appellant					
S062698	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist.	People, Respondent					
G018120	v.					
G018120 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant					
G018120	v.					
G018120 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v.					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891 4th Dist. G018694 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Rene Ramon Castro, Appellant					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891 4th Dist. G018694	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v.					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891 4th Dist. G018694 Div. 3	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Rene Ramon Castro, Appellant					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891 4th Dist. G018694 Div. 3 S062764	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Rene Ramon Castro, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. People, Respondent V.					
G018120 Div. 3 S062434 4th Dist. G018374 Div. 3 S062891 4th Dist. G018694 Div. 3 S062764 4th Dist.	v. Jerry Lee Alonzo, Jr., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Gene Fitzgerald Parker, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent v. Rene Ramon Castro, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. People, Respondent					

4th Dist. G018804 Div. 3 S062687	People, Respondent v. Steven Frank Caputo, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (<i>People v. Superior Court (Romero)</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)					
4th Dist. G018885 Div. 3 S062348	People, Respondent v. Russell Edward Hogle, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist. G019305 Div. 3 S062731	In re Christopher T., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law People, Respondent v. Christopher T., Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
4th Dist. G021553 Div. 3 S062941	In re Hector Moreno Rodriguez on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F023994 F026017 S062621	People, Respondent v. Alexander Komarenko, Appellant In re Alexander Komarenko on Habeas Corpus Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F024174 S062849	People, Respondent v. Marlon D. Edwards, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					

5th Dist. F024895 S062659	People, Respondent v. Victor Trevino, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (<i>People</i> v. <i>Superior Court (Romero)</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)					
5th Dist. F025194	People, Respondent v.					
S062242	Raymond Henry Callahan, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F025286	People, Respondent					
S062042	v. Rodney Ray Rappe, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F025476	People, Respondent v.					
S062722	Donald Ray O'Dell, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F026485	People, Respondent v.					
S062809	William F. Huntley, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED without prejudice to filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the sentencing court. (<i>People v. Superior Court (Romero)</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497, 530, fn. 13.)					
5th Dist.	In re Robert McNeely					
F028245 S062019	on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F028256	In re Michael A. Christopher on					
S061966	Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.					

5th Dist. F028490 S062685	In re Boris Vinogradoff on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.					
5th Dist. F028543 S062615	Ramon Z. Mendoza III, Petitioner v. Tulare County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H014026 S061920	People, Respondent v. Dat Tan Nguyen, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.					
6th Dist. H014877 S062808	People, Respondent v. Eric Francisco Lucio, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H015098 S062642	People, Respondent v. Michael Lamar Love, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H015276 S062779	People, Respondent v. Sean Lee McKibben et al., Appellants Appellants' petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H015703 S062828	Christopher R. Wojciechowski, Appellant v. Harry J. Kim et al., Respondents Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					

6th Dist. H015809 S062724	People, Respondent v. Jesus Ochoa Arzola, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H015930 S062856	People, Respondent v. Ralph Cornell Jenkins, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.					
6th Dist. H016733 S062696	Fred L. Kirchner, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.					
1st Dist. A072629 Div. 4 S061785	People, Respondent v. Maurice Gaines, Appellant The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.					
2nd Dist. B098154 Div. 3 S062903	Harold A. Caballero et al., Appellants v. Gibson Dunn & Crutcher et al., Respondents The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.					
2nd Dist. B101674 Div. 3 S061967	People, Respondent v. Will Stokley et al., Respondents The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.					
2nd Dist. B103962 Div. 1 S061213	Melissa Gilbert, Respondent v. National Enquirer Incorporated, Appellant The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED. Werdegar, J., did not participate.					

S062379

3rd Dist. C019091 S060614	Richard Douglas Oliver, Appellant v. Dixon Arnett et al., Respondents The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.
3rd Dist. D025865 Div. 1 S062928	Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, Appellant v. Industrial Company of San Diego, Inc. et al., Respondents The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.
4th Dist. E016149 Div. 2 S062137	James Franklin, Appellant v. Tarmac California Incorporated, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.
4th Dist. E016866 Div. 2 S062071	People, Respondent v. Lamavis Comundoiwilla et al., Appellants The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.
4th Dist. E018720 Div. 2	People, Respondent v. Terry Birkett, Appellant

S061148 In re **Timothy Lee Taggart** on Discipline

Petition for writ of review DENIED.

upon which review is either granted or denied.

It is ordered that **Timothy Lee Taggart** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions, including restitution, and seven months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed February 18, 1997, as amended by its order filed March 12, 1997. The discipline shall

The time for granting or denying review in the above cause is

hereby extended to and including September 19, 1997, or the date

be concurrent with that ordered in S061220. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S061220 In re **Timothy Lee Taggart** on Discipline

Petition for writ of review DENIED.

It is ordered that **Timothy Lee Taggart** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 120 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 22, 1996. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days respectively, after the date this order is effective.* (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.)

S061979 In re **Robert Craig Woll** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert Craig Woll** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed May 6, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is

effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S061980 In re **Ernest Porras** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Ernest Porras** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed April 25, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S061982 In re **David M. Garland** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **David M. Garland** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S062001 In re **Kevin James Quinn** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Kevin James Quinn** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 6086.10 payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code § 6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S062003 In re **Jay Wesley Donato** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Jay Wesley Donato** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days and until he makes restitution to the Law Offices of Kosmo and Tator in the amount of \$654.00, plus

10% interest per annum from October 7, 1994, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of Trials. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed April 15, 1997. If the period of actual suspension is two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or within the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If the period of actual suspension is 90 days or longer, it is also ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with section 6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S062005 In re **Joseph Gellman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Joseph Gellman** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on conditions that he be actually suspended for 45 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed April 25, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuat to Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997) and one-half

thereon shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar years 1998 and 1999, respectively.

S062057 In re **Frederick Armand Romero** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Frederick Armand Romero** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed April 11, 1997, as modified by its order filed May 7, 1997. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.)

S062059 In re **Thomas Michael Banks** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Thomas Michael Banks** be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 120 days actual suspension, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed May 2, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S062061 In re **George John Ronis** on Discipline

It is ordered that **George John Ronis** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for thirty days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed April 25, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).